

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,459	02/17/2004	Timothy M. Holub	306258	1389
33042 7590 01/10/2008 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. (SEATTLE OFFICE)			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, DANIELLE	
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA SUITE 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731		3636	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mail@leydig.com rwylie@leydig.com bbannister@leydig.com

·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/780,459	HOLUB, TIMOTHY M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Danielle Jackson	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f , cause the application to become AB ANDO	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	· _				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-57,72 and 75-83</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-57,72 and 75-83</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Oπ	ice Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau		strend			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		22FV (PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/12/07 6) Other:					

10/780,459 Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 46-56 and 61-71 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to McGill (US-1,539,907). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 72 is objected to because of the following informalities: "attached to first end" should be rewritten as —attached to the first end—- so it is understood that first end is the same first end already recited above in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 41-51, 55-57, 78-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGill (US-1,539,907) alone.

McGill discloses a fabric covering comprising: a top (10) that is a rain fly (page 1, lines 74-76) or a canopy (page 2, lines 52-56); a flexible protrusion (G) comprising a flexible bead (16) connected to the top by a flexible strip of material (15) extending along the entire edge of the fabric covering (see Fig. 1). While

Application/Control Number:

10/780,459 Art Unit: 3636

Q.Q

McGill does not specifically disclose the flexible protrusion as being able to move, it pears it would be able to move as nothing is preventing it from slightly rotating. It would of been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make the flexible protrusion rotatably connected to the top so that it could rotate between a first position spaced a first distance from the top and a second position spaced a second distance from the top, wherein the second distance is greater than the first distance so that when a back portion of the loop forms a wall it will be able to hold more water, especially if the wall is extended to a right angle. Further regarding the support structure, McGill teaches the flexible protrusion to be supported by the flexible strip of material, which is connected to the top at a top end, forms a loop and is connected back to the top end at an overlap section, and wherein the flexible bead is positioned in the loop (page 1, lines 85-88), wherein the support structure comprises the top end and the overlap section.

Further regarding claim 51, McGill teaches a fabric downspout (the downspout is a continuation of the flexible protrusion which is made of canvas; page 2, lines 9-13) arranged to receive water flowing along the flexible protrusion at a first end to direct water to a second end of the downspout (page 2, lines 16-25).

4. Claims 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGill (US-1,539,907) alone as applied to claim 51 above, and further in view of Knoop et al. (US-6,612,075 B1).

Application/Control Number:

10/780,459 Art Unit: 3636

McGill is discussed above; but lacks a downspout comprising a tube of fabric having a ring at the first end for holding the first end open. Knoop et al. teaches a downspout meant to be removably connected to a gutter (20) to receive water at a first end which comprises a ring (27) and configured to hold the first end of the tube open, seeing as how ring 27 provides an opening to receive water from the gutter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify McGill to include a downspout removably attached to the downspout and arranged to receive water flowing along the flexible protrusion, as suggested by Knoop et al., in order to provide a means to direct water out of the gutter and onto the ground so that water does not pour out of the gutter creating the possibility to dampen the users of the tent.

5. Claims 72 and 75-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itzigehl (DE-3606278 A1) in view of Knoop et al. (US-6,612,075 B1).

Itzigehl shows a fabric covering comprising a flexible gutter (3) arranged to direct water along the fabric covering. Itzigehl lacks a downspout arranged to receive water flowing along the gutter. Knoop et al. teaches a downspout meant to be removably connected to a gutter (20) to receive water at a first end which comprises a flexible bead (27) and configured to hold the first end of the tube open, seeing as how flexible bead 27 provides an opening to receive water from the gutter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Itzigehl to include a downspout arranged to receive water flowing along the gutter, as suggested by Knoop et al., in order to provide a means to direct water out of the gutter and onto

10/780,459 Art Unit: 3636

the ground so that water does not pour out of the gutter creating the possibility to dampen the users of the tent. Furthermore, Knoop et al. teaches the downspout to be made of any flexible waterproof material (column 2, lines 45-48) and it would have been obvious to make the downspout of fabric.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Knoop et al. does not teach a flexible bead at the first end of the fabric downspout. AS mentioned in the rejection above, element 27 is regarded as the flexible bead and does hold the first end of the downspout open since it attaches to the gutter and provides an opening for receiving water.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danielle Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2268. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/780,459 Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DNJ

DAVID DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER